

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/910,950	Applicant(s) BHAGWAT ET AL.	
	Examiner Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 94

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) Anthony M. Insogna {Reg. No. 35,203}.

(2) Michael J. Bruner {Reg. No.47,458}.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2004

Time: 3:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10, 11, 90, 91, 107 and 108

Prior art documents discussed:

US Pats. 6,531,491 and 6,534,524

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative to discuss two US Patents which were listed on an unconsidered IDS filed June 18, 2003. The Examiner indicated that the US Patents raised a question of patentability for independent claims 10 and 11, and claims which depended from these claims. On October 21, 2004 @ 2:15am, Applicants' representative proposed a solution to circumvent the US Patents. However, on October 25, 2004 @ 10:41am, the Examiner indicated to Mr. Bruner that Applicants' specie (found in claims 107 and 108) would not be embraced by their perspective independent claims. On November 1, 2004 @ 10:43am, Mr. Bruner called the Examiner to give permission to cancel claims 10, 11, 90, 91, 107 and 108 without prejudice of filing a continuation on same.

~~SS~~
11/1/04